UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/532,035	02/02/2006	Clive Alan Brown	20860/09001	6767

27530   7590   05/09/2007

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
1320 MAIN STREET, 17TH FLOOR
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

EXAMINER
CYGAN, MICHAEL T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2855	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10532035	2/2/06	BROWN, CLIVE ALAN	20860/09001

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
1320 MAIN STREET, 17TH FLOOR
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

EXAMINER
Michael Cygan

ART UNIT	PAPER
2855	20070501

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/532,035	BROWN, CLIVE ALAN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Cygan	2855

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) *Michael Cygan (USPTO)*.   (3)_____.

(2) *Kyle Globerman (app. rep.)*.   (4)_____.

Date of Interview: *01 May 2007*.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference

    c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: *1 and 13*.

Identification of prior art discussed: *Fournier*.

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: *The examiner agreed to withdraw the previous action and send a new action that more clearly addresses the limitation of the sensor including a wireless connection. The previous rejection relied on the fact that the sensor, through the wireless connection between base station and display device, met the claimed limitations. A new action will be mailed to address the claims more squarely.*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

MICHAEL CYGAN, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required